

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,245	PEACH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tom Y. Lu	2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Non-final rejection

(1) Tom Y. Lu.

(3) _____.

(2) Peter Weinberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed adding "automatically" to claim 17 to better reflect the true novelty of the invention, and to eliminate the possible confusion of manual operation. The attorney of the record agreed to the proposed changes to the claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)